

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/608,067	GINZBURG ET AL.	
	Examiner Tri H. Phan	Art Unit 2616	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Tri H. Phan. (3) _____

(2) Robert D. Schaffer (Reg# 33,775). (4) _____

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Typographical error with end period in claim 36.

Claims discussed:

Claim 36.

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed typographical error in claim 36 and agreement was reached. Authorization for examiner's amendment on claim 36.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)